

SENATOR HABERMAN: All right, thank you. Members of the body, Senator Warner is quoted in the paper as saying that a biennial session would allow legislators to keep in closer contact with the public. Well, we are going to have a bill come up this morning and it is going to be interesting to see how Senator Warner and other senators vote when they say, keep in closer contact with the public. And the bill is the seat belt bill. I have facts and figures to show you that 75 to 80 percent of the public are opposed. So if we pass this bill, we are not going to listen to them anyway. So my point is made...

PRESIDENT: Senator Nichol, do you wish...

SPEAKER NICHOL: Yes...

SENATOR HABERMAN: ...we keep in closer contact. Now, two year...

SPEAKER NICHOL: Mr. Chairman.

PRESIDENT: Senator...

SPEAKER NICHOL: Mr. Chairman, I would object. Senator Haberman is on the next subject and I would like to keep on the one we are on so that we get along with the schedule.

SENATOR HABERMAN: It says that we will have two year budgets. Well, we have problems now with the budget. That is why we have special sessions and I don't believe in having two year budgets. So I would oppose taking this away from the committee and bringing it to the floor. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Senator Warner, do you wish to close? Senator Rod Johnson, I'm sorry, you just put your light on. Senator Warner, do you wish to close? May we have order, please, (gavel)...so that we may hear the esteemed Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, again I won't spend a lot of time. I will say it one more time that having served in both I will tell you it is much better from any angle, any concept, personal or otherwise, to have biennial sessions and that's my personal opinion, than what I have seen in annual sessions. One thing I have seen is you have all seen